Revision 1:

- **Tourmaline and zircon trace the nature and timing of magmatic-hydrothermal episodes in granite-related Sn mineralization: Insights from the Libata Sn ore field**
- *Victor Ikechukwu Vincent^{1,2}, Huan Li*²*, Musa Bala Girei^{2,3,4}, Michael W. Förster⁵, Vandi Dlama *Kamaunji1*
- *1. Faculty of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, 430074, Wuhan, P.R. China*
- *2. Key Laboratory of Metallogenic Prediction of Nonferrous Metals and Geological Environment*
- *Monitoring, Ministry of Education, School of Geosciences and Info-Physics, Central South*
- *University, Changsha 410083, China*
- *3. Faculty of Earth Resources, State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral*
- *Resources, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China*
- *4. Department of Geology, Bayero University Kano, Kano State, Nigeria*
- *5. Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Sydney, Australia*
- 16 * Corresponding author (H. Li): lihuan@csu.edu.cn
-

ABSTRACT

 The Bin Yauri-Libata polymetallic ore district is a Sn and Au ore-bearing district in the Zuru schist belt, Northwestern Nigeria. The Libata Sn ore field is characterized by a set of cassiterite-bearing

hydrothermal veins associated with Neoproterozoic Pan-African granites affected by deformation

and low-grade metamorphism. The hydrothermal alteration associated with cassiterite-bearing

 quartz veins in the Libata deposit includes silicification, albitization, chloritization, and potassic alteration. In this study, geochemical and geochronological data from tourmalines and zircons from Sn bearing lodes, unmineralized and altered granites is applied to reveal the timing, fluid composition, and source of ore-forming materials for tin mineralization in the Libata ore field. 26 Zircon trace element and Hf isotopes ($\varepsilon Hf(t) = +4.37$ to $+10.85$) reveal a mantle-derived source with some crustal contribution for the melts forming the Libata Sn-bearing granites. LA-ICPMS zircon U-Pb dating constrains the magmatic and hydrothermal ages to 650-646 Ma and 649-646 29 Ma for the Libata granites. Overlapping zircon $\epsilon Hf(t)$ and ¹⁷⁶Hf^{/177}Hf but distinct ¹⁷⁶Lu^{/177}Hf and 176Yb 177H fratios from magmatic and hydrothermally altered zircons reveal a magmatic source for the hydrothermal fluids which triggered cassiterite deposition in the Libata ore field. Major element chemistry constrain tourmalines from the Libata ore field as schorls that show high alkalis, 33 low Ca contents, and moderate \Box values (where \Box is x-site vacancy). High Li, Zn, and Sn concentrations in tourmaline as well as Li/Sr and Ca-Fe-Mg ratios demonstrate that the tourmaline formed from granite-sourced fluid likely derived from the host Libata granites. Measured δ¹¹B 36 values from the Libata tourmaline range from −15.69‰ to −14.07‰. The $\delta^{11}B$ of the mineralizing 37 fluid is estimated to be −13.1 to −11.9‰ for the Libata tourmalines at 400-500 °C and overlaps with averages from fractionated granites worldwide. Therefore, our data show that tourmaline and zircon are useful tracers of magmatic-hydrothermal evolution in rare metal bearing granites systems.

 Keywords: Tourmaline chemistry, Sn mineralization, Hydrothermally-altered zircons, Pan-African granites, B isotopes, Libata

INTRODUCTION

 Tourmaline, an accessory mineral in various rock types, is common in most granitic rocks and in various types of hydrothermal ore deposits (Dutrow and Henry, 2011; Marschall and Jiang, 2011). Due to its resistance to weathering and stability over a wide range of P-T-X conditions, tourmaline typically preserves geological information about the magma or hydrothermal fluid from which it precipitated (Marks et al., 2013). Since tourmaline incorporates a large variety of elements into its crystal lattice, hydrothermal tourmaline is a robust tools for tracking the nature and

 evolution of mineralizing fluids in magmatic-hydrothermal systems (Jiang et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2021a). Similarly, boron isotopes of tourmaline are a reliable tracer for fluid–rock interactions, boron sources, and fluid evolution in ore deposit systems (Yang et al., 2015; Codeço et al., 2017; Trumbull et al., 2019; Trumbull et al., 2020).

 Zircon, a prevalent accessory phase in various rock types, is of increasing geological focus due to its resilience to weathering and ability to record geochronological, isotopic, and geochemical changes in host rocks (Hoskin, 2005; Valley et al., 2010). Trace element variations in zircon track magma evolution, metamorphism, and can serve as good recorders of magmatic- hydrothermal evolution in granitic systems (Claiborne et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014). The coupled use of zircon trace element composition, zircon Lu-Hf isotopes, and high-precision zircon U-Pb geochronology have been recognized as robust tools for tracking the timing and evolution of magmatic-hydrothermal stages in granite hosted ore deposits (Li et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2020; Vincent et al., 2021).

 Sn-W bearing lodes from Nigeria have long been a source of research and economic interest due to their abundance and world-class deposit styles (Girei et al., 2019). However, most of the present and historic mining has been from deposits associated with anorogenic ring complexes in northcentral Nigeria (Kinnaird and Bowden, 1987; Melcher et al., 2015). Deposits sourced from I- and S-type granitoids, pegmatites and other vein-type Sn deposits account for only 5% of the production from Nigeria (Olade, 2021). These Sn-bearing granitoids, rare metal pegmatites, and vein-type deposits are primarily concentrated along a 500 km NE-SW trending belt (Fig. 1b) from the southwestern part of Nigeria to the Jos Plateau (Okunlola and Ocan, 2009; Adetunji et al., 2016). However, recent studies suggest a wider distribution range for the ore-bearing calc-alkaline granitic suites and pegmatites in Northwestern and Southeastern Nigeria

 (Garba, 2003; Ero and Ekwueme, 2009). The cassiterite-tourmaline assemblages in quartz from the Libata deposit are similar to other granite-related Sn deposits found in Pan-African terrains of West Africa (Kinnaird et al., 2016). However, the timing, nature, and source of ore forming materials for these Sn-bearing granites remain poorly constrained. Therefore, a comprehensive geologic, geochemical, and isotopic study of the Libata Sn deposit is crucial in constraining the nature, genetic type, and genesis of Sn-bearing granites and vein systems in Northwestern Nigeria and by extension the Pan-African suites of the Nigeria-Benin Shield.

 In this study, cassiterite-bearing lodes associated with granites in northwestern Nigeria were selected to evaluate the Sn mineralization history for these granites. This study presents textural observations together with zircon U-Pb age, zircon Lu-Hf isotope, tourmaline major-trace element and boron isotopic data from barren and mineralized granites to determine the origin and timing of magmatic and hydrothermal processes that are associated with Sn mineralization in the Libata ore field.

GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

 The Nigeria basement complex forms part of the Trans-Saharan orogenic belt (Fig. 1a) extending from the Hoggar Massifs into West Africa (Cahen et al., 1984). Tectonic welding of the West African craton, Congo craton, and Pharusian belt between 700 to 590 Ma is interpreted to have formed the Trans-Saharan orogenic belt (Bute et al., 2019). The basement complex rocks of Northwestern Nigeria exhibit signatures of the Liberian, Eburnian, and Kibaran orogeny (Turner, 1983). Based on differing lithology and tectonic evolution, the Nigerian basement complex is subdivided into a western and eastern terrain (Fig. 1b; Bute et al., 2019; Ferré et al., 1996). The basement complex rocks of the eastern terrain have witnessed significantly higher grades of metamorphism than those of the western terrain and are composed of gneisses, migmatites, and

 metapelites (Ferré et al., 1996; Ferré et al., 1998). The country rocks of the western terrain are overlain by an array of N-S trending metasediments (Turner, 1983). Based on variations in metamorphic grade and lithology, several workers have proposed two distinct sets of metasediments, namely the "Older Metasediments" and "Younger Metasediments" (McCurry, 1971). The grade of metamorphism is highest in the Older Metasediments where amphibolite metamorphic grade rocks, which formed around 2.5 Ga, have been reported (Turner, 1983). The Younger Metasediments are composed of fine to coarse-grained clastic deposits in largely undeformed structures of late Pan-African age (Turner, 1983). Extensive post-collisional (Pan- African) granitoid plutons, generated during the main to late-stages of the Pan-African orogeny (750-550 Ma), are widespread in the Older Metasediments of the eastern and western basement complex terrains (Ferré et al., 1996). The basement complex rocks are further intruded by later staged Carboniferous to early Cretaceous granites (324-141 Ma) termed "Younger Granites" to differentiate them from the more widely distributed "Older granites" of Pan-African (750-550 Ma) ages (Bute et al., 2019; Vincent et al., 2022).

GEOLOGY OF THE LIBATA SN ORE FIELD

 The Libata area is composed of low-lying gneisses intruded by Pan-African granite porphyries and porphyritic biotite granites. The granitic rocks also intrude biotite-muscovite schists of the Zuru schist belt (Fig. 2a). The biotite-muscovite schists of the Zuru-Libata area show varying degrees of metamorphism with locally well-banded textures and gradation into hornfels (Danbatta and Garba, 2007). Two prominent Sn-bearing ore bodies have been found in the Libata ore field (Fig. 2b). Both Sn-bearing ore bodies in the Libata ore field are quartz veins with alteration zones bounding wall rocks. Vein 1 is a granite-hosted ore-bearing lode, while, vein 2 is a NE-SW trending metasedimentary-hosted ore-bearing lode (Fig. 2b). Wall rock samples from

 the granite-hosted vein primarily consist of quartz, plagioclase, tourmaline, and minor biotite, with accessory albite, chlorite, and zircon (Figs. 3b; 4a). The main ore mineral is cassiterite, which is accompanied by minor sulfides such as pyrite. Gangue minerals include chlorite, tourmaline, and quartz. Cassiterite occurs primarily in the tourmaline-quartz veins and the adjacent tourmaline- bearing wall rocks. Based on the above observations, mineral paragenesis from ore-bearing veins in the Libata ore field are summarized in Fig. 5. The Libata ore field is also comprised of several alluvial Au workings (Fig. 2b). Elsewhere, tourmaline-bearing auriferous quartz veins are hosted in the metasediments of the Zuru schist belt (Fig. 2a) along fault zones at Bin Yauri and (Garba, 2000).

SAMPLING AND PETROGRAPHY

 Samples for zircon geochemistry and U-Pb dating analysis were collected from two outcrop samples representing unmineralized Pan-African granitoids (sample CR-01 – granite porphyry) and altered wall rocks near vein 1 (sample KB-01 – altered biotite granite) from the Libata Sn ore field. Sample locations and representative photographs of analyzed samples are shown in Fig. 2a-b and Fig. 3a-b, respectively.

 Tourmaline-bearing samples were collected from both veins 1 and 2 (Fig. 3c, e). In hand specimens, tourmaline grains from both the granite-hosted vein (vein 1) and metasedimentary- hosted vein (vein 2) are distributed in a matrix of quartz and micas (e.g. biotite and muscovite) (Fig. 3c, e, h). The abundance of mica in vein 2 is higher than in vein 1 where their occurrence is limited to halos around tourmaline grains. Within both veins, tourmaline forms local grain aggregates bound by quartz or overgrowths on disrupted fragments of wall rock enclosed in the vein quartz (Fig. 3b, c, e). Vein 1 tourmalines form euhedral grains that are greenish-brown under plane-polarized light, ranging from 0.2 to 1 cm in size, disseminated in clusters within the mineral

 assemblages of the ore-bearing vein. The metasedimentary-hosted vein 2 tourmalines form euhedral grains with coloration varying from orange-brown to dark green color and dark blue in PPL photomicrographs and range from 0.05 to 1 cm in size (Fig. 3f-h). Tourmaline grains from wall rock sections and quartz-vein hosted tourmaline from granite-hosted vein 1 and metasedimentary-hosted vein 2 are primarily unzoned. However, some grains show pleochroic hue and intensity suggesting zonation (Figs. 3d, 4g-h). In BSE images, tourmaline grains from vein 1 and vein 2 show light to dark zonation bands (Fig. 4a). Photomicrographs from vein 2 show higher occurrence of zoned tourmaline grains in this vein compared to the granite-hosted vein 1 (Fig. 3g- h). In both quartz-vein and wall rock alteration zones, Fe-Ti oxides, which locally contain Sn, occur in highly fractured zones within tourmaline (Fig. 3g-h). The unzoned tourmalines are coexisting or filled with mineral inclusions (e.g. pyrite and zircon) (Fig. 3f, h). Inclusions of these minerals in unzoned tourmalines are relatively free from fractures suggesting coeval deposition of sulfides, cassiterite-bearing phases, and tourmaline (Fig. 3h). Albite primarily occurs in wall rock alteration zones from both vein 1 and 2 suggesting they precipitated during pre-ore metasomatism (Figs. 3b, 3g, 4b). K-feldspar is partly replaced and co-exists with albite along wall rocks in the Libata Sn deposit (Fig. 4a). K-feldspar also crystallizes in fractures between tourmaline in granite wall rock sections from vein 1 in the Libata Sn ore field (Fig. 4b). SEM images show tourmaline- quartz-chlorite assemblages along ore-bearing structures in the Libata Sn deposit (Fig. 4d-e) with wall rock samples from vein 1 showing similar alteration assemblage to those in wall rock samples from vein 2.

RESULTS

 Chemical analyses were conducted on tourmalines from the Libata Sn ore field, including tourmaline major and trace element and B isotope analysis. Additionally, zircon U-Pb dating and Lu-Hf isotopes of zircon from sampled unaltered and altered granites were carried out. Analytical 166 details related to samples and methods can be found in Online Material¹ while the analytical results are described below.

Zircon morphology and classification

 Zircons separated from both the granite porphyry and the altered biotite granite show variable morphologies and internal textures, as shown by Cathodoluminescence (CL), reflected-, and transmitted light images (Fig. 6a-c). Zircon grains mostly vary from 50 to 300 μm in diameter, with length/width ratios between 1:1 and 1:3 (Fig. 6a-b). Three groups of zircons are delineated in the Libata granites based on morphological and geochemical features: Group 1 zircons are euhedral to anhedral, showing bright grains with concentric oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6a-b). These zircon grains exhibit characteristics of magmatic zircons (Table 1). In contrast, Group 2 zircons are characterized by bright residual cores surrounded by dark, jagged rims, in addition to a few dark prismatic crystals (Fig. 6a-b). Group 3 zircons exhibit heterogeneous zoning patterns showing un-zoned areas, rimmed zones with jagged widths/brightness likely reflective of inherited/detrital zircon grains (Table 1; Fig. 6c). The zircon groups show distinct geochemical signatures based on zircon discrimination plots proposed by Hoskin (2005). Group 1 zircons largely plot in the field of magmatic zircons (MZ), while, Group 2 largely plot in the field of hydrothermal zircons and Group 3 zircon largely plot in the magmatic zircon fields (Fig. 7a-b). Notably, zircon grains that plot outside the field of magmatic zircons fall within fields of both hydrothermal zircons and neocrystallized zircons. We interpret the hydrothermal zircon field as representative of original magmatic zircons that have experienced modification by later hydrothermal fluids to form hydrothermally altered zircons and the neocrystallized zircon field to represent hydrothermal zircon grains that precipitated directly from Zr-saturated hydrothermal fluids, respectively (Geisler et al., 2003; Hoskin, 2005). The zircon grains of the Libata granites are defined by two

 morphological types, namely a "100" type crystal face that predominantly occurs in the granite porphyry (sample CR-01) and only sparingly occurs in the altered biotite granite (sample KB-01), and a "110" type that predominantly occurs in the KB-01 sample with minor amounts in the CR- 01 sample (Fig. 6a-b). The internal textures of zircons vary greatly in back-scattered electron (BSE), transmitted, and reflected light images. Group 2 zircons from the granite porphyry (CR-01) and altered biotite granite (KB-01) sample exhibit variable CL characteristics with dark and bright colors and spongy internal textures (Fig. 6c). The Group 2, mostly "110"-type zircon grains, are classified as hydrothermally altered zircons (HAZ) based on a combined analysis of zircon CL images, internal textures, and geochemical characteristics of analyzed zircons in the Libata granite (Table 1). In summary, eight grains from granite porphyry and seven grains from altered biotite granites were recognized as HAZ types (Table 1).

Zircon U-Pb geochronology

 Results of laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) U−Pb dating of zircon grains are listed in Table 2. Low concordance (<90%) zircon grains were excluded from the above table and concordia plots.

 Magmatic zircon from the granite porphyry (CR-01) sample yield concordant ages of 650 205 \pm 4 Ma (1 s, mean square of weighted deviate, MSWD = 0.74, n=13, Fig. 8a), comparable to 206 weighted-mean ²⁰⁶Pb^{/238}U age of 650 ± 5 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 2.80, *n* = 13, Fig. 8a). However, a 207 single grain yields an age of 421 ± 5 Ma, which we interpret as a magmatic grain that has experienced metamictization and slight Pb-loss (Fig. 6c). In contrast, Group 1 magmatic zircons 209 from the altered biotite granites (sample KB-01) yield ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U ages of 650 ± 4 (1 s, MSWD = 210 1.40, n = 12; Fig. 8c), comparable to weighted-mean ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age of 650 ± 5 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 211 1.83, $n = 12$, Fig. 8c). Two magmatic zircon grains from the altered biotite granite show ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U

212 ages of 867 ± 5 Ma and 963 ± 7 Ma, respectively. We interpret these ages as inherited zircon ages 213 from detrital zircons (Fig. 6c).

214 Group 2 HAZ grains from the granite porphyry yield concordant ages of 650 ± 6 Ma (1 s, 215 MSWD = 0.87, n = 7, Fig. 8b), comparable to weighted-mean ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age of 650 \pm 8 Ma (1 s, 216 MSWD = 2.70, $n = 7$, Fig. 8b). Contrastingly, group 2 HAZ grains from the altered biotite granite 217 yield ²⁰⁶Pb^{$/$ 238}U concordant ages of 650 \pm 5 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 3.20, n = 5; Fig. 8d), comparable 218 to weighted-mean ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age of 650 ± 5 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 6.18, *n* = 5, Fig. 8d).

219 **Zircon trace element compositions**

220 Trace element compositions of zircon from the studied rocks are given in Online Material² 221 Table OM1. Trace element concentrations vary significantly between magmatic and 222 hydrothermally altered zircon in the unmineralized and altered granites. In the granite porphyry 223 sample (CR-01), Group 1 (magmatic) zircons are characterized by low P ($avg = 340$ ppm), Y ($avg = 340$) 224 = 754 ppm), Hf (avg = 9834 ppm), U (147 ppm), and Σ REE (avg = 550 ppm). In contrast, Group 225 2 hydrothermally altered zircons show higher average P (1211 ppm), Y (850 ppm), Hf (9972 ppm), 226 U (175 ppm), and Σ REE (698 ppm) values. Compared to magmatic zircon, hydrothermal zircon 227 from sample CR-01 shows flat HREE with relatively weak positive Eu anomaly ($Eu/Eu^* = 0.05-$ 228 0.07) and positive Ce anomaly (Ce/Ce^{*} = 1.2–46.9; Fig. 8e). Trace element and REE distribution 229 for both magmatic and hydrothermally altered zircons from the altered biotite granites also show 230 moderate variation trends. HAZ grains in the altered biotite granites are characterized by high 231 values of Y (467–1351 ppm, average = 776 ppm), Hf (8250–10086 ppm, average = 9325 ppm), P 232 (443–3632 ppm, average = 1299 ppm), and ΣREE (428–944 ppm, average = 650 ppm). 233 Corresponding values from MZ in the altered biotite granites are markedly lower ($P = 101-426$) 234 ppm, average = 237 ppm; $Y = 283-2274$ ppm, average = 737 ppm; Hf = 7360-10045 ppm, average 235 = 8844 ppm; Σ REE = 235–1517 ppm, average = 566 ppm). However, they exhibit negative Eu 236 anomaly (Eu/Eu* = $0.06-0.54$) with weakly positive Ce anomaly (Ce/Ce* = 1.3–2.9; Fig. 8f).

237 **Zircon Lu-Hf isotopes**

238 Twenty-four representative zircon spots were selected for Hf isotopic analysis (Table 3). 239 MZ from the unmineralized granite porphyry (sample CR-01) show a narrow range of $\epsilon Hf(t)$ (+4.4) 240 to $+5.6$), 176 Lu/ 177 Hf (0.000610–0.000756), 176 Yb/ 177 Hf (0.025232–0.032046), and variable $176Hf^{177}Hf (0.282494-0.282534)$ values. On the other hand, HAZ grains from the Libata granite 242 porphyry show overlapping εHf(t) (+4.5 to +6.2) and ¹⁷⁶Hf^{$/177$}Hf (0.282502–0.282545) but higher 243 ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf (0.000573–0.001012) and ¹⁷⁶Yb/¹⁷⁷Hf (0.023931–0.044225) values. Calculated two-244 stage Hf model ages for the granite porphyry show Mesoproterozoic crustal Model ages (T_{DM}^C = 245 1311 to 1200 Ma) (Table 3). MZ in the altered biotite granite also show variable Lu-Hf-Yb isotopic 246 ratios, namely ¹⁷⁶Hf^{/177}Hf (0.282521–0.282591), ¹⁷⁶Lu^{/177}Hf (0.000554–0.000927), ¹⁷⁶Yb^{/177}Hf 247 (0.019038–0.039216), and ϵ Hf (t) values of +5.2 to +7.9. Comparatively, HAZ grains from the 248 altered biotite granite show higher eff (t) (+6.3 to +10.9), ¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf (0.000657–0.001227), 249 ¹⁷⁶Yb/¹⁷⁷Hf (0.028083-0.054186) but variable ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf (0.282544-0.282676). Calculated two-250 stage Hf model ages for the altered biotite granite show Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic crustal 251 model ages (T_{DM}^C = 1254 to 900 Ma) (Table 3). Two crystals (one metamict and one inherited 252 magmatic) from our samples are characterized by variable 176 Hf $/^{177}$ Hf ratios (0.281972–0.282529) and yielding outliers of ϵ Hf (t) (−7.5 to +0.5) and T_{DM}^C (1378–2293 Ma). Due to the standard *fLu*/Hf values (<-0.9), obtained from analyzed zircon grains in this study, calculated Hf T_{DM}^C 255 modal ages are considered reliable estimates of crustal residence times (Wang et al., 2016).

256 **Tourmaline EPMA data**

257 Tourmalines from the veins 1 and 2 in the Libata Sn ore field exhibit similar major 258 elemental compositions (Online Material³ Table OM1). They have variable F (0.03–0.49 wt%),

259 CaO $(0.10-1.28 \text{ wt\%})$ and MgO $(1.85-4.62 \text{ wt\%})$, high SiO₂ (34.36–36.48 wt%), Al₂O₃ (29.93– 260 33.40 wt%), FeO (11.03–13.95 wt%), and TiO₂ (0.36–1.01 wt%), as well as low MnO (0.15–0.81) wt%). Based on the X-site occupancy and according to the classification of Henry et al. (2011), the tourmalines from both veins belong to the alkali group (Fig. 9a). The tourmalines display a 263 large variation in Mg/(Mg + Fe) ratios from 0.2 to 0.4, with all the tourmaline samples showing schorl compositions (Fig. 9b). The tourmalines also shows high total Al (6.0-6.5 apfu), moderate excess Al in octahedral Y-sites (up to 0.5 apfu), moderate F contents (up to 0.3 apfu) and variable X-site vacancy content (0.2 to 0.4 apfu) whereby the Na cation is dominant in the X-site (0.6–0.7; 267 Online Material³ Table OM1). The tourmalines from vein 1 and 2 plot in the field of Li-poor granitoids, pegmatites, and aplites (Fig. 9b) based on discrimination plots of Al-Fe-Mg (Henry and Guidotti, 1985).

270 **In situ tourmaline trace elements**

 Trace element compositions of tourmaline from the Libata Sn-W deposit are given in 272 Online Material³ Table OM2. Tourmaline is overall characterized by low concentrations $(< 0.1 -$ 10 ppm) of Co, Ni, Cu, Rb, Y, REE, Zr, Nb, Mo, Cs, Ba, Ta, W, Pb, Bi, Th, and U, moderate concentrations (10 s to 100 ppm) of Be, Sc, and Sr, and high concentrations (100s ppm to >1000 275 ppm) of Li, Sn, Ga, V, and Zn (Online Material³ Table OM2). Multi-element normalized to average continental crust values from Rudnick and Gao (2003) (Fig. 9c) show only a few elements reach concentration levels at or above those of the average crust (Sn, Zn, Li, Ta, V, Co, Ni, and Sc) (Fig. 9c). Chondrite-normalized REE plots (Fig. 9d) overall also show low concentrations and a high variability of REE distribution from the Libata tourmaline. Overall, the vein 1 tourmalines show 280 higher total REE values ($avg = 11.4$ ppm, standard deviation (Stdev): 5) than those from vein 2 281 (avg = 9.8 ppm, Stdev = 4) (Online Material³ Table OM2). The tourmalines from veins 1 and 2

 show a negative sloping pattern from light through middle REE concentrations to a slightly positive sloping pattern towards the heavy REE segment, and a weakly positive Eu anomaly on chondrite-normalized REE plots (Fig. 9d).

 To identify trace element correlations in our dataset, we applied principal component analysis (PCA), a multivariate statistical technique that provides dimensionality-reduction of correlated variables into a reduced set of orthogonal linear combinations. This technique is particularly useful for analyzing large geochemical datasets including LA-ICP-MS trace element data, maximizing variance, and minimizing information loss (Winderbaum et al., 2012; Harlaux et al., 2019; Codeço et al., 2021). PCA was applied on log-transformed LA-ICP-MS trace element 291 data from the Libata tourmaline ($n = 49$ spots). 15 variables were selected for the PCA including the main trace elements (Li, Sc, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Zn, Ga, Ge, Sr, Nb, In, Sn, Pb, and Ta). Elements with very low concentrations (< 1 ppm) or below the limits of detection were excluded. Results of the two-dimensional projection of the two first principal components (PC1 vs. PC2), showing the statistical correlations between the investigated variables on a correlation circle is given in Fig. 9e- f. For the Libata tourmalines, four main groups of element correlation clusters are discriminated by the PCA. Group 1 comprised of Co, Ga, Ni, Cr, Sc, and In characterizes the higher 298 concentrations in vein 1 tourmalines (Fig. 9f; Online Material³ Table OM2). Group 2 composed of Li and Zn shows the variability of vein 2 tourmalines. Overlapping concentrations in Group 3 and 4 (Nb-Ta and Sc-V) precludes the discrimination of distinct clusters. Some elements (i.e. Sn, Ge, In, and Pb) plot individually, without correlations between each other. Trace elements show overlapping concentrations between the core and rim from vein 1 and 2 and therefore preclude the 303 discrimination of distinct clusters (Online Material³ Table OM2).

304 **Boron isotopes**

305 The LA–MC–ICP-MS boron isotopic data of tourmalines from our study are available in 306 Online Material³ Table OM3 and illustrated in Fig. 10. The vein 1-type tourmalines show a narrow about 307 ange of $\delta^{11}B$ values between $-15.7 \pm 0.4\%$ and $-14.4 \pm 0.5\%$, with a weighted mean value of $308 -15.0 \pm 0.2\%$ (n = 27, MSWD = 0.48) (Fig. 10a). Cores from zoned tourmaline grains from vein 309 1 show higher $\delta^{11}B$ values compared to the rims (Online Material³ Table OM3). The vein 2 tourmalines show markedly higher $\delta^{11}B$ values than the vein 1 type, ranging from $-15.1 \pm 0.4\%$ 311 and – 14.1 ± 0.3‰, with a weighted mean value of $-14.6 \pm 0.1%$ (n = 42, MSWD = 0.49) (Fig. 312 10a). Within sample variations between core and rim in zoned tourmaline from vein 2 are typically 313 <1‰ (Fig. 4a) with cores showing higher average in $\delta^{11}B$ values compared to rims (Online 314 Material³ Table OM3).

315 **DISCUSSION**

316 **Geochemical features of zircons from the Libata Sn-W ore field**

317 To track the degree of alteration between Group 1 and Group 2 zircons in the Libata ore 318 field, we applied the discrimination indices (LREE-I = $Dy/Nd + Dy/Sm$) proposed by Bell et al. 319 (2019). Magmatic zircons from the granite porphyry and altered biotite granite display higher 320 LREE-I values (sample CR-01: 4.3–95.8, average = 56.8, n = 15; sample KB-01: 23.9–81.5, 321 average = 50.1, n = 14) than corresponding HAZs (CR01: $3.3-82.6$, average = 27.1, n = 8; sample 322 KB-01: 3.7–30.4, average = 21.3, $n = 7$) from the studied samples (Fig. 7c-d). The low LREE-I 323 values (Online Material² Table OM1) in the HAZ grains along with the textural alteration is 324 indicative of post-magmatic modification from fluid-zircon interactions (Bell et al., 2019). 325 Generally, trace and minor (Hf, U, Y Th, LREE, etc.) element concentrations in HAZs are 326 markedly higher than values in magmatic zircons (Hoskin, 2005; Li et al., 2014). Due to the higher

 mobility of LREEs relative to HREEs in hydrothermal phases, LREEs are preferentially incorporated into hydrothermally altered, metamict and hydrothermal zircon grains (Sheard et al., 2012). In the Libata granites, hydrothermally altered zircons are enriched in LREE and ΣREE when compared to magmatic zircons (Figs. 7e-f; 8e-f).

 Titanium-in-zircon thermometry (Ferry and Watson, 2007) has been recognized as a reliable indicator for zircon crystallization and host magma temperatures (Schiller and Finger, 2019; Vincent et al., 2021). However, the reliability of the Ti-in-zircon temperatures is dependent on the preservation of Ti concentrations in zircon, which may become perturbed during highly mobile phases that form HAZ (Fu et al., 2008; Bloch et al., 2022). For the Libata granites, the application of Ti-in-zircon geothermometry to delineate crystallization temperatures of the hydrothermal zircon grains is not feasible due to the markedly high Ti concentrations in 338 neocrystallized and HAZ (Online Material² Table OM1). However, the crystallization temperatures can be derived from zircon morphology due to the large dependence of prism shapes on crystallization temperatures (Pupin, 1980; Dill et al., 2012). High crystallization temperatures 341 (>800 °C) favor the growth of "100" type prims whereas moderate to low temperatures (<700 °C) favor the development of "110" type prisms (Hayashi and Shinno, 1990). For the Libata granites, most magmatic zircons show "100" prisms, while hydrothermal zircons generally exhibit "110" prisms (Fig. 6a-b). Zircon morphology combined with Ti-in-zircon geothermometry from 345 magmatic zircons in the granite porphyry (avg. 761 ± 11 °C) and altered biotite granites (avg. 717 \pm 12 °C) samples confirm high initial crystallization temperatures (>700 °C) for the Libata granites. The dominance of "110" prims in hydrothermal zircons from the Libata ore field likely reflects zircon metamictization in these grains. The presence of these "110" prisms also point to a shift towards late-stage magmatic-hydrothermal conditions since metamict zircons are typically

 crystallized from highly evolved late residual melt (Kozlik et al., 2016). Their late-stage origin and 351 typical "110" form suggests relatively low closure temperatures (≤ 650 °C) for the hydrothermal zircons (Fig. 6a-b) in the Libata granites (Jiang et al., 2019a).

Timing of magmatic-hydrothermal activities in the Libata ore field

 The zircon U-Pb ages from our study allow for precise timing of magmatic activity in the Libata Sn deposit and its implication for the magmatic-hydrothermal episodes in the Libata ore field. Zircon U-Pb ages for Libata granites cluster around ~650 Ma (Table 1). Group 1 magmatic zircons from the Libata granite porphyry and altered biotite granite yield U–Pb concordant ages of 358 650 \pm 4 (1 s, MSWD = 0.74, n = 13), and 650 \pm 4 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 1.40, n = 12), respectively (Fig. 8a, c). The zircon U-Pb ages from the Libata granites (650 Ma) confirm their emplacement during the peak of the Pan-African orogenic episode in western Nigerian terrain (Bute et al., 2019; 361 Ferré et al., 1996). Our zircon U-Pb ages are markedly similar to ages $(642 \pm 6$ Ma) obtained from quartz potassic syenites from southwestern Nigeria (Adetunji et al., 2016). They also overlap U- Pb ages from other Pan-African suites (580-751 Ma) from the eastern and western terrain of the Nigerian basement (Ferré et al., 1996; Ferré et al., 1998).

 The utility of LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating of hydrothermal zircons to constrain timing of hydrothermal episodes have been demonstrated for Pb-Zn-Cu (Vincent et al., 2021), Au (Bao et al., 2014), and Sn−W deposits (Jiang et al., 2019a; Jiang et al., 2019b). In the Libata ore field, 368 Group 2 HAZs in sample CR-01 and Sample KB-01 yield ages of 650 ± 6 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 0.87, $n = 7$) and 650 ± 5 Ma (1 s, MSWD = 3.20, $n = 5$), respectively (Fig. 8b, d). In this study, the concordant ages from HAZs in both the Libata granite porphyries and altered biotite granite (650 Ma) suggests they were modified immediately after the crystallization of the host granite intrusions.

Source of melts and ore-forming materials for the Libata Sn deposit

 Trace element and Hf isotope variation are suitable tracers of distinct magma sources and melt evolutionary history in magmatic rocks (Kemp et al., 2005). Consequently, we apply discrimination ratios based on magmatic zircon trace element chemistry to constrain source(s) and evolution of the Libata granitoids. Zircon U/Yb vs. Yb (Fig. 11a) show that samples from the Libata ore field mostly fall in the continental zircon field and suggest a continental origin for the Libata granitoids (Grimes et al., 2007). Furthermore, zircon U/Yb vs. Nb/Yb discrimination plots (Fig. 11b) imply a continental arc-related tectonic regime for the Libata intrusions and suggests granite emplacement in a continental margin. Applying our zircon Lu-Hf isotope data, the Libata 381 granites show high positive ϵ Hf (t) (+4.4 to +10.6, average, = +6.4; Table 3) values. These values 382 are higher than ϵ Hf (t) signatures from inherited zircons in the Libata granites (ϵ Hf (t) = -7.6) and other granitoids in the Benino-Nigerian shield within the range of 656–610 Ma yielding negative εHf(t) (−27.1 to −6.4) (Ganade et al., 2016). Our εHf(t) values are below depleted mantle values (Fig. 12a; Table 3) showing positive εHf(t) values which rule out anataxis of local crustal rocks and reflective of source rocks derived from a mantle source. Calculated Hf model ages for the Libata granites (0.8–1.3 Ga) are lower than the values from other 656–610 Ma granites from the Benino-Nigerian shield (1.5–3.4 Ga) suggesting, limited contamination of the primary mantle melts with crustal material.

 Lu−Hf isotopic compositions of hydrothermal zircons are distinct from signatures in magmatic zircons and provide a viable tool for tracking fluid sources and evolutionary processes 392 in magmatic-hydrothermal systems (Li et al., 2018). The Hf $/177$ Hf ratios are similar in the magmatic and hydrothermally altered zircons from the Libata granitoids (Table 3), indicating the 394 preservation of the Hf isotopic system in zircon (Lenting et al., 2010). However, $176 \text{Lu}/177\text{H}$ (up to 0.0012) and ¹⁷⁶Yb^{$/177$}Hf (up to 0.055) ratios are markedly higher in HAZ compared to MZ from

 the Libata granites (Fig. 12c-d) explained by higher mobility of Lu and Yb during hydrothermal phases associated with zircon alteration (Lenting et al., 2010). The similar and broadly overlapping εHf (t) values for magmatic and hydrothermally altered zircon grains from the Libata orefield (Table 3) suggest that the mineralizing fluids were likely sourced from the granitic magmas.

400 The $\delta^{11}B$ values in tourmaline from the ore bearing veins in the Libata Sn ore field range 401 from −15.7 ‰ to −14.7 ‰ (Fig. 10a-b). Vein 1 tourmalines have $\delta^{11}B$ values of −15.7 to −14.4 ‰ 402 (average = −15.0 ‰), whilst vein 2 tourmalines show $\delta^{11}B$ values of −15.1 to −14.1 ‰ (average = 403 −14.6 ‰). Due to limited fluid inclusion data from the Libata Sn deposit, we infer temperature 404 conditions of the primary hydrothermal fluids to derive fluid-tourmaline equilibrium temperatures. 405 Assuming crystallization temperatures of 400 to 500 \degree C, equilibrium fluid-tourmaline fractionation 406 at 500 °C and 400 °C are +1.9‰ and +2.7‰, respectively (Meyer et al., 2008). This suggests that 407 the $\delta^{11}B$ values of the fluids in isotopic equilibrium were −13.1 to −12.3‰ for the vein 1 408 tourmalines and −12.7 to −11.9‰ for the vein 2 tourmalines. The emplacement of vein 1 firmly 409 within the Libata granites suggests derivation of boron predominantly from magmatic fluids 410 exsolved from granitic melts. To test for a granitic fluid source, we estimate the isotopic 411 compositions of a granitic melt that produces a magmatic fluid with a $\delta^{11}B$ of −12.3 to −13.1‰ 412 for the granite-hosted vein 1. At temperatures of 650 °C estimated for the hydrous F-rich granitic 413 melt and assuming 50:50 proportion of trigonal-tetrahedral coordination of boron in the melt 414 (Trumbull et al., 2013), a B-isotope fractionation factors of 5‰ between granitic melt and fluid is 415 calculated from molecular dynamics (Kowalski et al., 2013). This would imply $\delta^{11}B$ values of 416 −17.3 to −18.1‰ for the hypothetical granitic source, which falls in the range of values from 417 granites (Trumbull et al., 2020). For the metasedimentary-hosted vein 2, the markedly similar trace 418 element (Fig. 9a-d) and close overlap in boron isotopic values (Fig. 10b) with tourmalines from

 the granite-hosted vein 1 suggests ore materials/fluids in vein 2 were sourced from either the same 420 source as the granite-hosted vein 1 or from shallow magmatic bodies. The difference between $\delta^{11}B$ compositions from veins 1 and 2 (Fig. 10a) may reflect differential temperature change between 422 both veins at constant fluid composition, differing $\delta^{11}B$ compositions for zoned rims and cores 423 from vein 2 or depletion in $\delta^{11}B$ during vein fluid vs. wall rock interaction in vein 2.

 To investigate fluid sources for Sn mineralization in the Libata ore field, we used major and trace element ratios in tourmaline to track source compositions for the hydrothermal fluids 426 that precipitated the Libata tourmalines. The Li/Sr ratio provides a good separator for delineating tourmaline from magmatic vs metamorphic fluid origin (Harlaux et al., 2020). Compared to other tourmalines hosted in granite and metamorphic rocks (e.g. amphibolites and granite gneiss), tourmalines from the Libata ore field fall in the compositional field of magmatic tourmaline based on Li/Sr discrimination plots (Fig. 13a-b) and are in agreement with tourmaline major element compositions that fall into the field of Li-poor granitoids in the Ca-Fe-Mg diagram (Fig. 8b). The composition of the Fe-rich schorls from the Libata Sn ore field are in agreement with tourmaline compositions from most granitic rocks and magmatic-hydrothermal environments (Pirajno and Smithies, 1992). Our results are chemically distinct from the schorl-dravite tourmalines from the Bin-Yauri gold deposit whose origin has been proposed to be from metasedimentary sources (Garba, 1996). This would suggest that the tourmaline from the Libata Sn veins largely formed from magmatic fluids. Accordingly, our data suggests derivation of boron in the Libata ore field was dominantly from magmatic fluids although we do not rule out some contribution from the metasedimentary rocks in the area.

Magmatic-hydrothermal evolution in the Libata Sn mineralizations

 The utility of HAZs as tracers of the nature and chemical compositions of hydrothermal fluids associated with ore deposits have been widely recognized (Kozlik et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2019b; Vincent et al., 2021). Experimental studies and natural observations have highlighted the increased mobility of incompatible elements such as Hf, Th, U, Nb, Ta, Y, P, Sn and Pb in the presence of volatiles (e.g. B, F, Cl, and H2O) (Bau, 1996; Jiang et al., 2020). These highly mobile fluid-vapor phases are important in alkali-rich granitic systems (Girei et al., 2019; Girei et al., 2020; Vincent et al., 2021) as well as in B-rich hydrothermal systems (Lehmann, 2020). The high F concentrations in tourmaline (up to 0.5 wt.%, determined with EPMA) suggest the late-stage volatile-rich fluids exsolved from the Libata granites were able to carry incompatible elements and exchange them with Si and Zr from magmatic and metamict zircons through diffusion-controlled alteration processes that formed HAZs in the Libata granites. Therefore, the hydrothermal fluids that interacted with these zircons were likely highly enriched in U, Th, F, Y, REE, Nb, Ta, Hf, Fe, and Ca and moderately enriched in P, Sn, Sc, Pb, and Ti. The Libata HAZs show coupled 454 correlation trends between $U + Nb + Ta$ and $Y + \overline{X}$ REE (Fig. 14a) suggesting that many trace elements have been incorporated into these zircons. Th/U ratios of HAZ in the Libata granites vary 456 between 0.4 and 0.7 (average $= 0.5$) and are markedly lower than those of MZ (Fig. 14b). The shift in Th/U values from magmatic to HAZ is typical for ore deposits and a crucial marker for delineating hydrothermal zircons (Li et al., 2014). To further track magmatic to hydrothermal trends in the zircons from the Libata granites, we assessed geochemical ratios (e.g. Y/Ho and Yb/Gd) that show stable behaviors in primary or anhydrous melts but vary in evolved melts that are enriched in volatiles and halogens. Other studies have highlighted the stability of Y/Ho in primary melts where they retain ratios close to chondritic values (Bau, 1996). However, these ratios show large variations in evolved granitic systems due to increased mobility and behavior of Y, Zr, Hf, and REEs during volatile-driven mobility (Bau, 1996). HAZ from our studied samples mostly show elevated Y/Ho values (>28; Fig. 14c) due to complexation with halogen-rich phases

 with a negative correlation between Y content and Y/Ho ratio present for the magmatic zircon grains (Jiang et al., 2019a). Differentiation trends for the Libata granites are also traced by Yb/Gd against Eu/Eu* values (Fig. 14d). Progressive oxidization conditions along with increased fractionation during magmatic evolution suggests that the altered biotite granites are more evolved than the granite porphyries of the Libata ore field (Lu et al., 2019). Furthermore, this trend also differentiates barren from fertile granite suites with the altered biotite granites largely plotting above the field synonymous with mineralized granites (Fig. 14d).

 Geochemical (major and trace element) composition of tourmaline have been widely recognized as reliable monitor of formation environment and conditions (Dutrow and Henry, 2011). Moreover, these geochemical signatures have been used extensively to trace evolution in magmatic-hydrothermal systems (Jiang et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2015; Codeço et al., 2020; Harlaux et al., 2020). Compositions of tourmalines from Libata, plotting mostly in the schorl field (Fig. 9b), with low Na contents (average 0.6 apfu) and high X-site vacancies (average 0.3 apfu), suggesting precipitation from a low-salinity fluid (von Goerne et al., 2001; von Goerne et al., 2011). 480 Assuming a temperature of 500 °C, a salinity of ca. 3 wt% NaCl eq is estimated for the fluid in 481 equilibrium with the assemblage tourmaline $+$ quartz $+$ chlorite (von Goerne et al., 2001). REE patterns of tourmaline generally reflect both the REE composition of the host rock or source as well as the effects of fluid-rock interactions (Yang et al., 2015; Duchoslav et al., 2017; Hong et al., 2017). Tourmalines from the Libata ore field show higher concentrations of LREEs relative to HREEs (Fig. 9d) similar to trends seen in tourmalines from other magmatic-hydrothermal ore systems (Marks et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2015). This enrichment trend matches REE patterns in hydrothermal zircons in the Libata granites (Fig. 8e-f) suggesting that the volatile-rich late-stage fluids responsible for the precipitation of the hydrothermal zircon and tourmaline in the Libata

 orefield were enriched in LREEs relative to HREEs. Tourmaline from both ore-bearing veins in the Libata ore field have relatively high Sn (>100 ppm avg) contents (Fig. 13c-d). A coupled increase in Fe and Sn contents have been reported from tourmalines associated with cassiterite mineralization (Harlaux et al., 2020). In both veins 1 and 2, the high contents of Fe (13 wt % avg) 493 and Sn (100 ppm avg.) are notable (Online Material³ Table OM1 and Online Material³ Table OM2). These high concentrations suggest that hydrothermal fluids precipitating tourmaline in the Libata ore field were rich in Fe and Sn primarily transported as chloride complexes under moderate to high temperature phases (Taylor and Wall, 1993; Schmidt, 2018). In granitic rocks, mica group minerals (e.g., biotite) are important hosts of Sn with concentrations ranging from 10s to 100s parts per million (López-Moro et al., 2017). Mineralizing fluids in Sn ore systems may become enriched in tin through the breakdown of biotite during chloritization processes (Harlaux et al., 2020). Elevated vanadium concentrations and the high V/Sc ratios suggest that the hydrothermal fluid precipitating the tourmalines was rich in vanadium. This enrichment is likely linked to metal release during biotite chloritization, as biotite is a major host of vanadium (Viswanathan et al., 2012). Therefore, biotite alteration seen in the Libata granites (Fig. 4c-d) due to chloritization likely triggered the coupled release of tin and vanadium into the mineralizing fluids, which then substituted into the crystal lattice of tourmaline during precipitation of hydrothermal tourmalines in the Libata orefield. Experimental and thermodynamic data support the transport of high 507 concentrations of tin (100s to 1000s) likely as Sn^{4+} -Cl complexes in hydrothermal fluids (Heinrich, 1990; Schmidt, 2018).

 Due to their robust ability to retain geochemical signatures from several petrogenetic processes, tourmaline has been found to be a potential pathfinder mineral for tin deposits (Fogliata et al., 2012). Elevated trace element concentrations (typically >10s to 100s ppm) of Li, V, Ga, Zn,

 and Sn in tourmaline grains from the Libata ore field are typical for tourmaline from granite-related tin deposits (Duchoslav et al., 2017; Codeço et al., 2021). Our data suggests that the high Sn, Zn, and Li concentration in tourmaline from the Libata ore field can be regarded as 'indicators' of cassiterite mineralization. Accordingly, tracer signatures from tourmaline + chlorite + quartz alteration assemblages associated with cassiterite-wolframite-niobium mineralization in the Pan- African granites may serve as valuable indicators for other granite-associated vein-type deposits in adjacent areas.

IMPLICATIONS FOR ORE GENESIS

 (1) Our study constrains overlapping magmatic (~650 Ma) and magmatic-hydrothermal (~650 Ma) events from the Libata granite porphyry and altered biotite granite porphyry. Our ages are consistent with magmatic-mineralogenic events at the peak of the Pan African orogeny and expands the known temporal range of granite-related Sn mineralization in the basement complex of Nigeria.

 (2) The abundance of metamict and hydrothermally-altered zircons hosted in the granites adjoining Libata vein 1 and their components suggests that the hydrothermal fluids derived from the granites likely contributed the ore forming fluids associated with Sn mineralization. The fluids 528 were enriched in volatile phases $(F, Cl, and H₂O)$ as well as numerous trace elements such as U, Y, Nb, Ta, Hf, P, and Ti.

 (3) Trace element chemistry and B isotopes from both the granite- and metasedimentary- hosted Sn-Tur veins suggests a common source for ore metals, boron and hydrothermal fluids forming both veins. Our data point to magmatic-hydrothermal fluids derived from the Libata granites as the source for ore materials forming the Libata deposit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Biao Liu and Yuanlin Chen for their help with lab work.

FUNDERS

-
- This work was financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China granted to Dr
- Huan Li (Grant No. 92162103)

REFERENCES CITED

 Adetunji, A., Olarewaju, V., Ocan, O., Ganev, V., Macheva, L., 2016. Geochemistry and U-Pb zircon geochronology of the pegmatites in Ede area, southwestern Nigeria: A newly discovered oldest Pan African rock in southwestern Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 115: 177-190.

- Bao, Z., Sun, W., Li, C., Zhao, Z., 2014. U–Pb dating of hydrothermal zircon from the Dongping gold deposit in North China: constraints on the mineralization processes. Ore Geology Reviews, 61: 107-119.
- Bau, M., 1996. Controls on the fractionation of isovalent trace elements in magmatic and aqueous systems: evidence from Y/Ho, Zr/Hf, and lanthanide tetrad effect. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 123(3): 323-333.
- Bell, E.A., Boehnke, P., Barboni, M., Harrison, T.M., 2019. Tracking chemical alteration in magmatic zircon using rare earth element abundances. Chemical Geology, 510: 56-71.
- Bloch, E.M., Jollands, M.C., Tollan, P., Plane, F., Bouvier, A.-S., Hervig, R., Berry, A.J.,
- Zaubitzer, C., Escrig, S., Müntener, O., 2022. Diffusion anisotropy of Ti in zircon and

- implications for Ti-in-zircon thermometry. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 578: 117317.
- Bute, S.I., Yang, X., Cao, J., Liu, L., Deng, J., Haruna, I.V., Girei, M.B., Abubakar, U., Akhtar,
- S., 2019. Origin and tectonic implications of ferroan alkali-calcific granitoids from the Hawal Massif, east-eastern Nigeria terrane: clues from geochemistry and zircon U-Pb-Hf
- isotopes. International Geology Review, 62(2): 129-152.
- Cahen, L., Snelling, N., Delhal, J., Vail, J., Bonhomme, M., Ledent, D., 1984. The geochronology and evolution of Africa. Oxford University Press.
- Claiborne, L.L., Miller, C.F., Wooden, J.L., 2010. Trace element composition of igneous zircon: a thermal and compositional record of the accumulation and evolution of a large silicic batholith, Spirit Mountain, Nevada. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 160(4): 511-531.
- Codeço, M.S., Weis, P., Trumbull, R.B., Pinto, F., Lecumberri-Sanchez, P., Wilke, F.D., 2017. Chemical and boron isotopic composition of hydrothermal tourmaline from the Panasqueira W-Sn-Cu deposit, Portugal. Chemical Geology, 468: 1-16.
- Codeço, M.S., Weis, P., Trumbull, R.B., Van Hinsberg, V., Pinto, F., Lecumberri-Sanchez, P.,
- Schleicher, A.M., 2020. The imprint of hydrothermal fluids on trace-element contents in white mica and tourmaline from the Panasqueira W–Sn–Cu deposit, Portugal. Mineralium
- Deposita, 56: 481-508.
- Codeço, M.S., Weis, P., Trumbull, R.B., Van Hinsberg, V., Pinto, F., Lecumberri-Sanchez, P.,
- Schleicher, A.M., 2021. The imprint of hydrothermal fluids on trace-element contents in
- white mica and tourmaline from the Panasqueira W–Sn–Cu deposit, Portugal. Mineralium
- Deposita, 56: 481-508.

- Fogliata, A.S., Báez, M.A., Hagemann, S., Santos, J., Sardi, F., 2012. Post-orogenic, carboniferous granite-hosted Sn–W mineralization in the Sierras Pampeanas Orogen, Northwestern Argentina. Ore Geology Reviews, 45: 16-32.
- Fu, B., Page, F.Z., Cavosie, A.J., Fournelle, J., Kita, N.T., Lackey, J.S., Wilde, S.A., Valley, J.W.,
- 2008. Ti-in-zircon thermometry: applications and limitations. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 156(2): 197-215.
- Ganade, C.E., Cordani, U.G., Agbossoumounde, Y., Caby, R., Basei, M.A., Weinberg, R.F., Sato,
- K., 2016. Tightening-up NE Brazil and NW Africa connections: New U–Pb/Lu–Hf zircon data of a complete plate tectonic cycle in the Dahomey belt of the West Gondwana Orogen in Togo and Benin. Precambrian Research, 276: 24-42.
- Ganade, C.E., Cordani, U.G., Weinberg, R.F., Basei, M.A., Armstrong, R., Sato, K., 2014. Tracing Neoproterozoic subduction in the Borborema Province (NE-Brazil): Clues from U-Pb geochronology and Sr-Nd-Hf-O isotopes on granitoids and migmatites. Lithos, 202: 167- 189.
- Garba, I., 1996. Tourmalinization related to Late Proterozoic-Early Palaeozoic lode gold mineralization in the Bin Yauri area, Nigeria. Mineralium Deposita, 31(3): 201-209.
- Garba, I., 2000. Origin of Pan-African mesothermal gold mineralisation at Bin Yauri, Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 31(2): 433-449.

- Garba, I., 2003. Geochemical discrimination of newly discovered rare-metal bearing and barren 623 pegmatites in the Pan-African $(600\pm150 \text{ Ma})$ basement of northern Nigeria. Applied Earth Science, 112(3): 287-292.
- Geisler, T., Rashwan, A., Rahn, M., Poller, U., Zwingmann, H., Pidgeon, R., Schleicher, H., Tomaschek, F., 2003. Low-temperature hydrothermal alteration of natural metamict zircons from the Eastern Desert, Egypt. Mineralogical Magazine, 67(3): 485-508.
- Girei, M.B., Li, H., Algeo, T.J., Bonin, B., Ogunleye, P.O., Bute, S.I., Ahmed, H.A., 2019. Petrogenesis of A-type granites associated with Sn–Nb–Zn mineralization in Ririwai complex, north-Central Nigeria: Constraints from whole-rock SmNd and zircon LuHf isotope systematics. Lithos, 340: 49-70.
- Girei, M.B., Li, H., Vincent, V.I., Algeo, T.J., Elatikpo, S.M., Bute, S.I., Ahmed, H.A., Amuda,
- A.K., 2020. Genesis and timing of Mo mineralization in the Mada Ring Complex, north- central Nigeria: insights from whole-rock geochemistry, Nd-Sr isotopes, zircon U-Pb-Hf isotopes, and molybdenite Re-Os systematics. Mineralium Deposita: 1-20.
- Grimes, C., Wooden, J., Cheadle, M., John, B., 2015. "Fingerprinting" tectono-magmatic provenance using trace elements in igneous zircon. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 170(5-6): 46.
- Grimes, C.B., John, B.E., Kelemen, P., Mazdab, F., Wooden, J., Cheadle, M.J., Hanghøj, K., Schwartz, J., 2007. Trace element chemistry of zircons from oceanic crust: A method for distinguishing detrital zircon provenance. Geology, 35(7): 643-646.
- Harlaux, M., Kouzmanov, K., Gialli, S., Laurent, O., Rielli, A., Dini, A., Chauvet, A., Menzies, A., Kalinaj, M., Fontboté, L., 2020. Tourmaline as a tracer of late-magmatic to

- Harlaux, M., Mercadier, J., Marignac, C., Villeneuve, J., Mouthier, B., Cuney, M., 2019. Origin
- of the atypical Puy-les-Vignes W breccia pipe (Massif Central, France) constrained by trace element and boron isotopic composition of tourmaline. Ore Geology Reviews, 114: 103132.
- Hayashi, M., Shinno, I., 1990. Morphology of synthetic zircon crystals doped with various elements. Mineralogical Journal, 15(3): 119-128.
- Hazarika, P., Mishra, B., Pruseth, K.L., 2015. Diverse tourmaline compositions from orogenic gold deposits in the Hutti-Maski greenstone belt, India: implications for sources of ore-forming fluids. Economic Geology, 110(2): 337-353.
- Heinrich, C.A., 1990. The chemistry of hydrothermal tin (-tungsten) ore deposition. Economic Geology, 85(3): 457-481.
- Henry, D.J., Guidotti, C.V., 1985. Tourmaline as a petrogenetic indicator mineral: an example from the staurolite-grade metapelites of NW Maine. American mineralogist, 70(1-2): 1-15.
- Henry, D.J., Novák, M., Hawthorne, F.C., Ertl, A., Dutrow, B.L., Uher, P., Pezzotta, F., 2011.
- Nomenclature of the tourmaline-supergroup minerals. American Mineralogist, 96(5-6): 895-913.
- Hong, W., Cooke, D.R., Zhang, L., Fox, N., Thompson, J., 2017. Tourmaline-rich features in the Heemskirk and Pieman Heads granites from western Tasmania, Australia: Characteristics, origins, and implications for tin mineralization. American Mineralogist, 102(4): 876-899.

- Hoskin, P.W., 2005. Trace-element composition of hydrothermal zircon and the alteration of Hadean zircon from the Jack Hills, Australia. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(3): 637-648.
- Jiang, S.-Y., Yu, J.-M., Lu, J.-J., 2004. Trace and rare-earth element geochemistry in tourmaline and cassiterite from the Yunlong tin deposit, Yunnan, China: implication for migmatitic– hydrothermal fluid evolution and ore genesis. Chemical Geology, 209(3-4): 193-213.
- Jiang, W.-C., Li, H., Evans, N.J., Wu, J.-H., 2019a. Zircon records multiple magmatic-
- hydrothermal processes at the giant Shizhuyuan W–Sn–Mo–Bi polymetallic deposit, South China. Ore Geology Reviews, 115: 103160.
- Jiang, W.-C., Li, H., Mathur, R., Wu, J.-H., 2019b. Genesis of the giant Shizhuyuan W–Sn–Mo– Bi–Pb–Zn polymetallic deposit, South China: Constraints from zircon geochronology and geochemistry in skarns. Ore Geology Reviews, 111(1): 102980.
- Jiang, W.-C., Li, H., Turner, S., Zhu, D.-P., Wang, C., 2020. Timing and origin of multi-stage
- magmatism and related W–Mo–Pb–Zn–Fe–Cu mineralization in the Huangshaping deposit,

South China: An integrated zircon study. Chemical Geology, 552: 119782.

- Kemp, A., Wormald, R., Whitehouse, M., Price, R., 2005. Hf isotopes in zircon reveal contrasting sources and crystallization histories for alkaline to peralkaline granites of Temora, southeastern Australia. Geology, 33(10): 797-800.
- Kinnaird, J., Bowden, P., 1987. African anorogenic alkaline magmatism and mineralization—a discussion with reference to the Niger-Nigerian Province. Geological Journal, 22(S2): 297- 340.
- Kinnaird, J.A., Nex, P.A., Milani, L., 2016. Tin in Africa. Episodes, 39(2): 361-380.
- Kowalski, P.M., Wunder, B., Jahn, S., 2013. Ab initio prediction of equilibrium boron isotope fractionation between minerals and aqueous fluids at high P and T. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 101: 285-301.
- Kozlik, M., Raith, J.G., Gerdes, A., 2016. U–Pb, Lu–Hf and trace element characteristics of zircon from the Felbertal scheelite deposit (Austria): New constraints on timing and source of W mineralization. Chemical Geology, 421: 112-126.
- Lehmann, B., 2020. Formation of tin ore deposits: A reassessment. Lithos: 105756.
- the Hf isotope system in radiation-damaged zircon during experimental hydrothermal alteration. American Mineralogist, 95(8-9): 1343-1348.

Lenting, C., Geisler, T., Gerdes, A., Kooijman, E., Scherer, E.E., Zeh, A., 2010. The behavior of

- Li, H., Watanabe, K., Yonezu, K., 2014. Zircon morphology, geochronology and trace element geochemistry of the granites from the Huangshaping polymetallic deposit, South China: Implications for the magmatic evolution and mineralization processes. Ore Geology Reviews, 60: 14-35.
- Li, H., Wu, J.-H., Evans, N.J., Jiang, W.-C., Zhou, Z.-K., 2018. Zircon geochronology and geochemistry of the Xianghualing A-type granitic rocks: Insights into multi-stage Sn-polymetallic mineralization in South China. Lithos, 312: 1-20.
- López-Moro, F.J., Polonio, F.G., González, T.L., Contreras, J.L.S., Fernández, A.F., Benito,
- M.C.M., 2017. Ta and Sn concentration by muscovite fractionation and degassing in a lens- like granite body: The case study of the Penouta rare-metal albite granite (NW Spain). Ore Geology Reviews, 82: 10-30.

- Zircon fingerprinting of magmatic-hydrothermal systems in the Archean Yilgarn craton. Perth: Geological Survey of Western Australia, 22.
- Ludwig, T., Marschall, H., von Strandmann, P.P., Shabaga, B., Fayek, M., Hawthorne, F., 2011.
- A secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) re-evaluation of B and Li isotopic compositions of Cu-bearing elbaite from three global localities. Mineralogical Magazine, 75(4): 2485-2494.
- Marks, M.A., Marschall, H.R., Schühle, P., Guth, A., Wenzel, T., Jacob, D.E., Barth, M., Markl,
- G., 2013. Trace element systematics of tourmaline in pegmatitic and hydrothermal systems
- from the Variscan Schwarzwald (Germany): The importance of major element composition, sector zoning, and fluid or melt composition. Chemical Geology, 344: 73-90.
- Marschall, H.R., Jiang, S.-Y., 2011. Tourmaline isotopes: no element left behind. Elements, 7(5): 313-319.
- McCurry, P., 1971. Pan-African orogeny in northern Nigeria. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 82(11): 3251-3262.
- Melcher, F., Graupner, T., Gäbler, H.-E., Sitnikova, M., Henjes-Kunst, F., Oberthür, T., Gerdes, A., Dewaele, S., 2015. Tantalum–(niobium–tin) mineralisation in African pegmatites and rare metal granites: constraints from Ta–Nb oxide mineralogy, geochemistry and U–Pb geochronology. Ore Geology Reviews, 64: 667-719.
- Meyer, C., Wunder, B., Meixner, A., Romer, R.L., Heinrich, W., 2008. Boron-isotope fractionation between tourmaline and fluid: an experimental re-investigation. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 156(2): 259-267.

- Taylor, J.R., Wall, V.J., 1993. Cassiterite solubility, tin speciation, and transport in a magmatic aqueous phase. Economic Geology, 88(2): 437-460.
- Trumbull, R., Garda, G., Xavier, R., Cavalcanti, J., Codeço, M.S., 2019. Tourmaline in the
- Passagem de Mariana gold deposit (Brazil) revisited: major-element, trace-element and B-isotope constraints on metallogenesis. Mineralium Deposita, 54(3): 395-414.
- Trumbull, R.B., Beurlen, H., Wiedenbeck, M., Soares, D.R., 2013. The diversity of B-isotope variations in tourmaline from rare-element pegmatites in the Borborema Province of Brazil.
- Chemical Geology, 352: 47-62.
- Trumbull, R.B., Codeço, M.S., Jiang, S.-Y., Palmer, M.R., Slack, J.F., 2020. Boron isotope variations in tourmaline from hydrothermal ore deposits: A review of controlling factors and insights for mineralizing systems. Ore Geology Reviews: 103682.
- Turner, D., 1983. Upper Proterozoic schist belts in the Nigerian sector of the Pan-African Province of West Africa. Precambrian research, 21(1-2): 55-79.
- Valley, P.M., Fisher, C.M., Hanchar, J.M., Lam, R., Tubrett, M., 2010. Hafnium isotopes in zircon:
- A tracer of fluid-rock interaction during magnetite–apatite ("Kiruna-type") mineralization. Chemical Geology, 275(3-4): 208-220.
- Vincent, V.I., Li, H., Girei, M.B., Ahmed, H.A., Ntekim, E.E., 2021. Genesis and age of PbZn mineralization from the Ningi-Burra ring complex, North Central Nigeria: Constraints from zircon morphology, UPb dating and LuHf isotopes. Lithos: 106115.
- Vincent, V.I., Wang, L.-X., Zhu, Y.-X., Kamaunji, V.D., Ahmed, H.A., Ntekim, E.E., Cao, L.,
- 2022. Onset of the anorogenic alkaline magmatism in the Nigerian Younger Granite
- province: Constraints from the Daura and Dutse complexes. Lithos, 410: 106561.

- Viswanathan, S., Singh, Y., Mahabaleswar, B., 2012. Geochemical behaviour of vanadium, chromium, cobalt, nickel, and copper during progressive chloritization of biotites in granites. Journal of the Geological Society of India, 80(3): 301-303.
- von Goerne, G., Franz, G., Heinrich, W., 2001. Synthesis of tourmaline solid solutions in the system Na 2 O–MgO–Al 2 O 3–SiO 2–B 2 O 3–H 2 O–HCl and the distribution of Na between tourmaline and fluid at 300 to 700 C and 200 MPa. Contributions to Mineralogy 781 and Petrology, 141(2): 160-173.
- von Goerne, G., Franz, G., van Hinsberg, V.J., 2011. Experimental determination of Na–Ca distribution between tourmaline and fluid in the system CaO–Na2O–MgO–Al2O3–SiO2– B2O3–H2O. The Canadian Mineralogist, 49(1): 137-152.
- Wang, J.-X., Nie, F.-J., Zhang, X.-N., Jiang, S.-H., 2016. Molybdenite Re–Os, zircon U–Pb dating and Lu–Hf isotopic analysis of the Xiaerchulu Au deposit, Inner Mongolia Province, China. Lithos, 261: 356-372.
- Winderbaum, L., Ciobanu, C.L., Cook, N.J., Paul, M., Metcalfe, A., Gilbert, S., 2012. Multivariate analysis of an LA-ICP-MS trace element dataset for pyrite. Mathematical Geosciences, 44(7): 823-842.
- Yang, S.-Y., Jiang, S.-Y., Zhao, K.-D., Dai, B.-Z., Yang, T., 2015. Tourmaline as a recorder of magmatic–hydrothermal evolution: an in situ major and trace element analysis of tourmaline from the Qitianling batholith, South China. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 170(5): 1-21.
- Zhang, W., Chen, H., Peng, L., Zhao, L., Huang, J., Lu, W., Liang, P., Lai, C., 2018. Discriminating hydrothermal fluid sources using tourmaline boron isotopes: Example from

Zhao, H.-D., Zhao, K.-D., Palmer, M.R., Jiang, S.-Y., Chen, W., 2021a. Magmatic-Hydrothermal

- Mineralization Processes at the Yidong Tin Deposit, South China: Insights from In Situ Chemical and Boron Isotope Changes of Tourmaline. Economic Geology, 116(7): 1625- 1647.
- Zhao, K.-D., Zhang, L.-H., Palmer, M.R., Jiang, S.-Y., Xu, C., Zhao, H.-D., Chen, W., 2021b. Chemical and boron isotopic compositions of tourmaline at the Dachang Sn-polymetallic ore district in South China: Constraints on the origin and evolution of hydrothermal fluids. Mineralium Deposita, 56: 1589–1608.
- Zhao, Z., Yang, X., Zhang, T., Lu, Y., Li, W., Zhang, Z., 2022. Geochemical characteristics and boron isotopes of tourmaline from the Baishaziling tin deposit, Nanling Range: Constraints on magmatic-hydrothermal processes. Ore Geology Reviews, 142: 104695.

Figure Captions

 Fig. 1. Geological sketch map showing (a) generalized map of Africa showing the distribution of rare-metal granites and rare metal-bearing pegmatites. (b) Nigeria showing distribution of Pan- African granites, schist belts, and established NE-SW trending Sn-W bearing pegmatites. The Raghane shear zone is a potential suture zone marking the boundary between Eastern Nigeria Terrane (ENT) and Western Nigeria Terrane (WNT) adopted from Ferré et al. (1998).

 Fig. 2. Geological map of (a) the Bin Yauri-Libata area showing the distribution of Au and Sn mineralizations, and (b) the Libata Sn ore field showing the distribution of ore-bearing lodes.

 Fig. 3. Photographs showing hand specimens and photomicrographs (PPL) of (a) deformed granite porphyry; (b) altered biotite granite wall rock sample from vein 1; (c) hand specimen photomicrograph of quartz vein-hosted hydrothermal tourmaline from Libata vein 1; (d) wall rock from the granite-hosted vein 1 showing the occurrence of unzoned and zoned tourmaline; (e) quartz vein-hosted hydrothermal tourmaline from Libata vein 2 and (f) tourmaline and zircon from wall rock sections at the Libata vein 2; (g) section from quartz vein at Libata vein 2 and (h) wall rock alteration zone from Libata vein 2. Abbreviation: Ab, albite; Fe-Ti oxides, iron-titanite oxides; Kfs, K-feldspar; Ms, muscovite; Plg, plagioclase; Py, pyrite; Qtz, quartz; Tur, tourmaline; Zr, zircon. **Fig. 4.** (a) Selected BSE-images of analyzed sections from veins 1 and 2 in the Libata ore field. SEM micrographs of the bulk ore showing distinct morphologies of (b) albite grains occurring with K-feldspar and quartz from wall rocks near vein 1; (c) tourmaline from vein 2 with later-stage K-feldspar; (d) tourmaline-chlorite occurrence seen in vein 1; (e) chlorite-tourmaline-quartz assemblage from Libata vein 1. Abbreviation: Ab, albite; Chl, chlorite; Kfs, K-feldspar; Tur, tourmaline; Qtz, quartz.

 Fig. 5. Simplified paragenesis for the Libata Sn mineralizations. The thickness of the horizontal lines indicates the abundance of the mineral species.

 Fig. 6. Selected CL-images of analyzed zircon grains from the (a) granite porphyry (CR-01) and (b) altered biotite granite (KB-01). (c) Representative transmitted and reflected light images of zircons, showing the distribution of fluid/mineral inclusions in the granite porphyry and altered biotite granite samples.

 Fig. 7. Magmatic-hydrothermal zircon discrimination plots of (a) SmN/LaN vs. Ce/Ce* diagram; (b) La vs. SmN/LaN diagram. (c) Ti vs LREE-I showing LREE+Ti contamination as well as potential contamination by Ti without substantial LREE. (d) Hf vs LREE-I showing both a positive correlation expected for magmatic zircon grains and alteration trend for the hydrothermally altered zircon grains. Fig. 7(c-d) adapted from Bell et al., 2019. Magmatic-hydrothermal zircon plots for (e) ΣREE vs. ΣLREE; and (f) ΣREE vs. Eu/Eu* values in zircon grains from the Libata Sn mineralization. Magmatic and hydrothermal fields are from Hoskin (2005). **Fig. 8.** Zircon U-Pb concordant ages of different zircon groups in the (a-b) granite porphyry (CR- 01), and (c-d) altered biotite granite (KB-01) samples. Group 1 zircons = magmatic zircons and Group 2 zircons = hydrothermally altered zircons. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for the (e) granite porphyry and (f) altered biotite granite from the Libata quartz-tourmaline-cassiterite ore field. Normalization values for zircon/chondrite REE patterns are from Sun and McDonough (1989).

 Fig. 9. Chemical compositions of tourmaline from Libata (a) Ca-X□-Na+K (apfu) ternary diagrams, after Henry et al. (2011); (b) Ca-Fe-Mg (apfu) ternary diagrams, after Henry and Guidotti (1985). Fig. 8 (c-d). Trace element and chondrite-normalized REE compositions of tourmalines from the Libata ore field. Fig. 8 (e-f). Principal component analysis (PCA) of LA-863 ICP-MS log-transformed trace element data from the Libata tourmaline ($n = 49$ spot analyses, 15 variables). Trace element normalized to upper continental crust values (UCC) from Rudnick and Gao (2003) and normalization values for chondrites are from Sun and McDonough (1989).

 Fig. 10. Frequency histogram of boron isotopic compositions of the (a) vein 1 tourmaline and vein 2 tourmaline at Libata. (b) $\delta^{11}B$ values of the tourmaline in the Libata Sn deposit. The $\delta^{11}B$ data $f(669)$ for boron reservoirs are cited from Marschall and Jiang (2011). $δ¹¹B$ data for deposits are from Ludwig et al. (2011); Codeço et al. (2017); Zhang et al. (2018); Trumbull et al. (2019) and Trumbull et al. (2020).

 Fig. 11. Magmatic zircon geochemical diagrams for the Libata granites: (a) U/Yb vs. Yb, after 874 Grimes et al. (2007) and (b) $Log_{10}(U/Yb)$ vs $Log_{10}(Nb/Yb)$ after Grimes et al. (2015).

 Fig. 12. Hafnium isotopic signatures of different types of zircons (a) age vs. εHf(t) scattered diagram for different zircons and neighboring deposits; (b) a limited age between 600 and 670 Ma 878 of (a). (c) ¹⁷⁶Hf^{/177}Hf vs. ¹⁷⁶Lu^{/177}Hf and (d) ¹⁷⁶Hf^{/177}Hf vs. ¹⁷⁶Yb^{/177}Hf. Hf isotope values for the Archean basement complex rocks of Western Nigeria and West Africa are from Dickin et al. (1991), Ganade et al. (2016) and Petersson et al. (2018). Hf isotope values from the Benin-Nigeria shield are from Ganade et al. (2016) and Petersson et al. (2018), values for the Hawal Massif are from Bute et al. (2019), and values for the Brasilliano-Pan African orogeny are from Ganade et al. (2014).

 Fig. 13. (a-b) Source discrimination plots for the Libata tourmalines based on Li/Sr ratio as compared to compositions of worldwide tourmaline hosted in granites and metamorphic rocks. Variation diagrams of selected trace elements in tourmaline from the Libata Sn ore field. (c) Sn vs. Co/La; (d) Sn vs. Zn/Nb. Data for Cornwall granites are from Duchoslav et al. (2017); Puy-les Vigness gneisses are from Harlaux et al. (2019); Hutti amphibolites are from Hazarika et al. (2015);

- **Fig. 14.** Scatter diagrams of zircon LA-ICP-MS results (ppm). (a) Y + ΣREE vs. U + Nb + Ta; (b)
- 896 Y + ΣREE vs. Th/U; (c) Y/Ho vs. Y.; and (d) Eu/Eu* vs. Yb/Gd. C1-Chondritic value of Y/Ho =
- 28 in (c) from Bau (1996). Dashed line at Eu/Eu* represent demarcation of field of fertile and
- barren granites. Values for fertile and infertile Phanerozoic granites are from Lu et al. (2019).

Table Caption

- Table 1. Major distinct characteristics of zircon types from granites in the Libata Sn-W orefield.
- Table 2. LA-ICP-MS Zircon U-Pb data of the studied granites.
- Table 3. Zircon in situ Lu-Hf isotopic compositions of the Libata granites.

Online Material Caption

- 904 Online Material¹ Description of analytical methods
- 905 Online Material² Table OM1 Zircon trace element compositions of the Libata granites
- 906 Online Material³ Table OM1 Major element compositions from tourmalines in the Libata tin ore district.
- 908 Online Material³ Table OM2 Trace element compositions from tourmalines in the Libata tin ore district.
- 910 Online Material³ Table OM3 LA-ICP-MS Boron isotope analysis from the Libata Sn-W ore field.
- 911 Online Material⁴ Table OM1 Zircon U-Pb data for standard samples GJ-1 and PLE.
- 912 Online Material⁴ Table OM2 Zircon trace element data for standard samples GJ-1 and PLE.
- 913 Online Material⁴ Table OM3 Trace element analytical results of standard sample BCR-2G, BIR-

914 1G and BVHO-2G.

915 **Supplementary Figure captions**

- 916
- 917 Supplementary Figure 1. Zircon U-Pb concordia for standard zircon samples GJ-1 and the
- 918 Plesovice (PLE).

Figure 6

Character/type	Magmatic	Hydrothermally-altered	Inherited		
Size	$50-300 \mu m$	$50-200 \mu m$	100-150 μm		
Morphology	Euhedral & anhedral	Euhedral & subeuhedral	Subhedral & anhedral		
	Dominant {100} with	Dominant {110} prism	Dominant {100} prism		
	minimal ${110}$ prism	face	face		
	face				
Optical appearance	Transparent and	Murky-brown opaque	Transparent and		
	colorless		colorless		
CL appearance	High intensity	Low intensity	High intensity		
	Clear zoned texture	Variable with zoned and	Variable with zoned and		
		unzoned grains	unzoned grains		
Formation mechanism	Early- and late-stage	Early magmatic melt and	Mostly ancient		
	magmatic melt	altered by hydrothermal	magmatic melt (distinct		
		fluid	zircon ages)		
Internal structure	Inclusion-poor	Inclusion-rich	Inclusion-poor		
	Fracture-poor	Fracture-rich	Fracture-poor		
		Spongy texture			
Geochemical feature	Moderate negative Eu	Strong negative Eu	Moderate negative Eu		
	anomaly	anomaly	anomaly		
	Strong positive Ce	Flat Ce anomaly trends	Strong Ce anomaly		
	anomaly	Strongly enriched in U,	Depleted in LREE		
	Depleted in LREE	Th, Y, REE, Nb			
		Moderately enriched in	Low common Pb		
	Low common Pb	Ti, Sc, Pb, Hf, Ta	content		
	content	High common Pb			
		content & varying			
		degree of radiogenic Pb			
		loss			
Zircon grain U-Pb ages	~ 650 Ma	\sim 650 Ma	>800 Ma		
Lu-Hf isotope signature	$+4.4$ to	$+4.5$ to $+10.6$	-7.63		

Table 1. Major distinct characteristics of zircon types from granites in the Libata Sn orefield

+7.9

Sample No	Type	Isotopic ratios Apparent age (Ma)									Concor			
		207Pb/206Pb	1σ	$^{207}Pb/^{235}U$	1σ	$^{206}Pb/^{238}U$	1σ	$^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$	1σ	$^{207}Pb/^{235}U$	1σ	$^{206}Pb/^{238}U$	1σ	dance
Sample CR-01 (Granite porphyry)														
LIB CR01-01	MZ	0.0586	0.0016	0.8697	0.0244	0.1073	0.0010	550	54.62	635	13.27	657	6.08	96%
LIB CR01-02	MZ	0.0639	0.0016	0.9178	0.0239	0.1039	0.0009	739	53.70	661	12.68	637	5.15	96%
LIB CR01-03	HAZ	0.0619	0.0017	0.8942	0.0232	0.1047	0.0009	672	60.02	649	12.43	642	5.00	98%
LIB CR01-04	HAZ	0.0627	0.0013	0.9197	0.0185	0.1059	0.0007	698	42.59	662	9.78	649	4.31	97%
LIB CR01-05	HAZ	0.0622	0.0015	0.9003	0.0206	0.1049	0.0008	680	50.00	652	11.01	643	4.85	98%
LIB CR01-06	HAZ	0.0579	0.0016	0.8617	0.0243	0.1073	0.0008	528	52.77	631	13.26	657	4.89	95%
LIB CR01-07	$\ensuremath{\text{MZ}}$	0.0611	0.0022	0.8821	0.0313	0.1049	0.0009	643	79.62	642	16.91	643	5.55	99%
LIB CR01-08	MZ	0.0610	0.0018	0.9090	0.0257	0.1082	0.0009	639	62.95	657	13.69	662	5.39	99%
LIB CR01-10	MZ	0.0637	0.0018	0.9291	0.0265	0.1054	0.0009	731	59.25	667	13.95	646	5.47	96%
LIB CR01-11	HAZ	0.0617	0.0014	0.9025	0.0200	0.1057	0.0008	661	48.15	653	10.68	648	4.71	99%
LIB CR01-13	MZ	0.0590	0.0017	0.8711	0.0249	0.1066	0.0010	569	61.87	636	13.53	653	5.64	97%
LIB CR01-14	HAZ	0.0595	0.0016	0.8806	0.0239	0.1072	0.0009	583	59.25	641	12.90	656	5.00	97%
LIB CR01-15	HAZ	0.0624	0.0014	0.9248	0.0208	0.1071	0.0009	687	46.29	665	11.00	656	5.54	98%
LIB CR01-16	$\ensuremath{\text{MZ}}$	0.0619	0.0018	0.9272	0.0265	0.1081	0.0009	672	61.11	666	13.95	662	5.18	99%
LIB CR01-18	MZ	0.0626	0.0017	0.9155	0.0247	0.1058	0.0009	694	57.40	660	13.11	648	5.13	98%
LIB CR01-19	MZ	0.0613	0.0017	0.8816	0.0237	0.1041	0.0009	650	57.40	642	12.80	638	5.43	99%
LIB CR01-20	$\ensuremath{\text{MZ}}$	0.0643	0.0019	0.9274	0.0260	0.1045	0.0009	754	56.48	666	13.69	641	5.09	96%
LIB CR01-22	MZ	0.0648	0.0019	0.9478	0.0271	0.1059	0.0008	769	61.11	677	14.14	649	4.71	95%
LIB CR01-23	MZ	0.0592	0.0027	0.8588	0.0372	0.1059	0.0012	576	99.99	629	20.33	649	7.19	97%
LIB CR01-24	HAZ	0.0624	0.0019	0.9346	0.0304	0.1081	0.0010	700	58.33	670	15.94	662	5.97	98%
LIB CR01-25	$\rm MZ$	0.0612	0.0019	0.9198	0.0281	0.1087	0.0009	656	66.66	662	14.88	665	5.12	99%
Sample KB-01 (Potassic altered granite)														
LIB KB01-01	$\rm MZ$	0.0621	0.0024	0.9131	0.0335	0.1066	0.0012	676	87.95	659	17.81	653	6.71	99%
LIB KB01-02	MZ	0.0624	0.0026	0.8971	0.0369	0.1043	0.0011	687	90.73	650	19.78	639	6.57	98%
LIB KB01-03	MZ	0.0626	0.0019	0.9135	0.0261	0.1057	0.0010	694	69.44	659	13.85	647	5.67	98%
LIB KB01-04	HAZ	0.0671	0.0020	0.9750	0.0272	0.1049	0.0009	843	56.48	691	13.98	643	5.46	92%

Table 2. LA-ICP-MS Zircon U-Pb data for the studied granites.

Note:

MZ = Magmatic zircon.

HAZ = Hydrothermally-altered zircon.

IZ = Inherited zircon

All of these abbreviations are also applied to the tables below.

Spot No	Type	176 Lu/ 177 Hf	$176 \text{Yb} / 177$ Hf	$^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf$	$\pm 1\sigma$	Age	$\epsilon Hf(t)$	TDM(Ma)	TDM2(Ma)	fLu/Hf	
						(Ma)					
Sample CR-01 (Granite porphyry)											
$CR-01-01$	MZ	0.000691	0.029189	0.282494	0.000013	657	4.37	1063	1311	-0.98	
CR-01-02	$\rm MZ$	0.000756	0.032046	0.282531	0.000012	637	5.21	1013	1242	-0.98	
$CR-01-03$	HAZ	0.000573	0.023931	0.282525	0.000013	642	5.17	1017	1249	-0.98	
CR-01-04	HAZ	0.000673	0.028206	0.282519	0.000012	643	4.94	1028	1264	-0.98	
$CR-01-05$	HAZ	0.000735	0.031256	0.282519	0.000011	657	5.24	1029	1256	-0.98	
CR-01-06	HAZ	0.000600	0.024872	0.282502	0.000014	648	4.50	1049	1296	-0.98	
CR-01-07	MTZ	0.000730	0.030653	0.282529	0.000014	421	0.47	1015	1378	-0.98	
CR-01-08	HAZ	0.000845	0.036266	0.282534	0.000013	656	5.69	1012	1227	-0.97	
CR-01-09	$\rm MZ$	0.000610	0.025232	0.282522	0.000014	665	5.56	1022	1242	-0.98	
$CR-01-10$	$\rm MZ$	0.000720	0.030418	0.282534	0.000014	638	5.34	1009	1235	-0.98	
$CR-01-11$	$\rm MZ$	0.000654	0.027413	0.282514	0.000013	657	5.10	1034	1265	-0.98	
$CR-01-12$	$\rm MZ$	0.001012	0.044225	0.282545	0.000014	665	6.21	1000	1201	-0.97	
		Sample KB-01 (Potassic altered granite)									
KB-01-01	$\rm MZ$	0.000706	0.029589	0.282539	0.000016	653	5.85	1001	1214	-0.98	
KB-01-02	HAZ	0.001162	0.046562	0.282676	0.000017	643	10.31	819	923	-0.97	
KB-01-03	HAZ	0.001227	0.054186	0.282579	0.000015	655	7.10	958	1137	-0.96	
KB-01-04	MZ	0.000716	0.030064	0.282544	0.000015	667	6.34	994	1194	-0.98	
KB-01-05	HAZ	0.000657	0.028083	0.282676	0.000013	658	10.85	808	901	-0.98	
KB-01-06	HAZ	0.000797	0.033398	0.282572	0.000014	654	7.01	958	1142	-0.98	
KB-01-07	$\rm MZ$	0.000574	0.019880	0.282593	0.000013	656	7.90	922	1087	-0.98	
KB-01-08	$\mathbf{I}\mathbf{Z}$	0.000804	0.033752	0.281972	0.000012	963	-7.53	1791	2293	-0.98	
KB-01-09	MZ	0.000639	0.026938	0.282521	0.000015	651	5.20	1025	1254	-0.98	
KB-01-10	$\ensuremath{\text{MZ}}$	0.000554	0.019038	0.282580	0.000013	640	7.09	940	1126	-0.98	
KB-01-11	HAZ	0.000843	0.029512	0.282590	0.000012	642	7.39	933	1108	-0.97	
KB-01-12	$\rm MZ$	0.000927	0.039216	0.282591	0.000012	664	7.85	934	1096	-0.97	

Table 3. Zircon in situ Lu-Hf isotopic compositions for the Libata granites

Note:

MZ = Magmatic zircon.

MTZ = Metamict zircon

HAZ = Hydrothermally-altered zircon.

IZ = Inherited zircon